

In The Claims

17. (Amended) The cellular telephone of claim 12 [11] wherein said erasable and programmable memory is[the first and second memories are] coupled to a headset port in the cellular telephone, thereby permitting audio signals to be directed from the memories to a headset coupled to the cellular telephone via the headset port.

18. (Amended) The cellular telephone of claim 12 [11] wherein the microprocessor is pre-programmed to preempt output from said erasable and programmable memory [first and second memories] in response to an incoming call or the initiation of an outgoing call.

19. (Amended) The cellular telephone of claim 12 [11] further including a screening memory in communication with said microprocessor for storing a list of preferred callers and wherein said output from said erasable and programmable memory [first and second memories] is not preempted in response to an incoming call unless said incoming call is from a caller on said list of preferred callers.

Remarks

The office action mailed February 14, 2000 has been carefully considered. Claims 17 through 19 have been amended to more address the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112. Re-examination of this application in light of the following comments is respectfully requested.

Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of which the